# **Prescriptions for Doctors**



## How should hospitals replace their equipment?

Faculty Advisor: Jose Pacheco Company Representative: Dan Kaskinen



#### Problem

Hospitals spend **\$93B per year** on medical equipment in the US

However, they are neither able to elaborate long-term budget plans for the replacement of medical equipment nor they have an idea of how will their fleet evolve in the following years

# \$93B per year

### **HANDLE Global**

Healthcare supply chain analytics platform

They collect and process data, and provide **analysis tools** for hospitals to better manage their medical equipment

Created a **0-100 score** to quantify the health of assets



### Data

Clients with **hundreds of thousands** of assets

All the data was previously processed by HANDLE

#### Asset sample:

Age: 7 years Product: Bariatric bed Lifespan: 20 years Manufacturer: Bed supplier Item id: XA124I23b23cFc2



Score: 35 Category: Hospital beds Hospital id: 15089 Purchase Price: \$25.3k Purchase Data: 08/19/2015

## How can we help hospitals develop a replacement plan for their medical equipment over the next 5 years?

To solve this problem, we need to tackle the following questions

- 1. How can we estimate the cost of replacing an asset?
- 2. Which is the best replacement strategy for each client?
- 3. How can we optimally suggest which specific assets should be replaced?



#### Methodology



**Replacement Cost Forecasting** 

#### **Interactive 5-year Budget Planning**



**Optimal Budget Planning** 



#### **Replacement Cost Forecast**

## The goal is to estimate **how much will it cost** to replace an asset in the future

However, since we only have information about the past purchases, we start from the past **purchase price**, and we update it applying the **price trends** observed in the data within each product category



regression separately



#### **Interactive 5-year Budget Planning**

**Interactive dashboard** for clients to define a replacement **strategy** (how to prioritize the replacement of assets) that suits their needs and preferences by **customizing the parameters** of the model

The dashboard integrates a sequential approximation of the prescriptive model to **improve the custumer's experience** by reducing the computational time

Reduction of solving time:





#### **Optimal 5-year Budget Planning**

**Binary optimization** problem to provide the final **presciptions**: which assets and when should the client replace according to its needs and preferences

The model **maximizes the health score of replaced assets** subject to budget constraints, prioritizing urgent assets, and allowing multiple same-asset replacements within the optimization horizon

#### Formulation \*

\* Simplification of the actual formulation

$$\begin{split} \max_{d_0,d_1,w,z} & \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} cost_{i,t} \cdot (score_{i,t} \cdot d_{0,i,t} + urg_{-}factor \cdot score_{i,t} \cdot d_{1,i,t} + 100 \cdot w_{i,t}) \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} cost_{i,t} \cdot (d_{0,i,t} + d_{1,i,t} + w_{i,t}) \leq budget_t \quad \forall t \\ & \sum_{t=1}^{T} (d_{0,i,t} + d_{1,i,t}) \leq 1 \quad \forall i \\ & w_{i,t+L_i} = d_{0,i,t} + d_{1,i,t} + w_{i,t} \quad \forall i, t = 1, ..., t - L_i \\ & \sum_{i \in j} score_{i,t} \leq \sum_{i \in j} [urg_{-}cutoff + M \cdot z_{j,t}] - \epsilon \quad \forall j, t \\ & \sum_{i \in j} score_{i,t} \geq \sum_{i \in j} [urg_{-}cutoff + M \cdot (z_{j,t} - 1)] \quad \forall j, t \\ & d_{1,i,t} \leq z_{j,t} \quad \forall i, t \\ & d_{0,i,t} \leq 1 - z_{j,t} \quad \forall i, t \\ & d_{0,d_1,w} \in \{0,1\}^{N*T} \\ & z \in \{0,1\}^{J*T} \end{split}$$
 Decision variables Parameters  $d_{0,i,t} : \text{ replacement decision variable} \qquad N: \text{ number of assets} \end{split}$ 







 $d_{1,i,t}$ : urgent replacement decision variable  $w_{i,t}$ : cycle replacement variable  $z_{i,t}$ : auxiliary group urgency variable

J: number of asset groups  $L_i$ : asset lifespan

T: year horizon

Next steps

 $urg\_cutoff$  : max. acceptable average group score  $urg\_factor$  : score modifier for urgent assets

#### **Strategy Comparison**

We additionally developed a tool to **compare different strategies** so that clients are able to analyze the implications that one strategy may have compared to another one

1.085 20.000 40.000 64.151

Will this tool, clients will observe the **result** of a decision in the strategy choice by comparing it to the **counterfactual** 



#### **Company adoption**

HANDLE is **currently** implementing in their **platform** both *Replacement Cost Forecast* & *Interactive 5-year Budget Planning* 

The *Optimal 5-year Budget Planning* adoption is subject to the feedback from clients and the purchase of a commercial license



# Finalize integration into HANDLE platform Collect feedback from/running AB testing on real clients Implement Optimal Budget Planning into production

Analyze consistency between prescriptions and actual decisions of the client

25k times speedup 97%

of the optimal objective function

