#### Work Smarter Not Harder

Optimal Scheduling for Quality Control Labs

Authors: Michal Laskowski & Shannan Liu

**Faculty Advisor:** Professor Nikos Trichakis

**Pfizer Mentors:** Caroline Daugherty & Abigail Garrett





### — Quality control is imperative to Pfizer





## — Global project scope











#### Analysts



#### Samples























## - Grouping is critical for testing efficiency

Marginal sample runtime vs Equipment setup time



# Average equipment setup time is 14x longer than average testing time



#### Decisions

#### When sample is:

- Pulled out
- Tested
- Reviewed

#### Which analyst:

- Tests
- Reviews















### Achieving balance



Average cycle time in days

## Testing time can be reduced with little impact on cycle time



### — Achieving balance



Trade-off between testing time and cycle time

Average cycle time in days

## Testing time can be reduced with little impact on cycle time



### — Achieving balance



Trade-off between testing time and cycle time

Average cycle time in days

## Testing time can be reduced with little impact on cycle time



#### — Stable schedule



Average daily working hours for an analyst

Every day is a **busy day**, but **no** day is an **overwhelming day** 



#### — Stable schedule



Average daily working hours for an analyst

Every day is a **busy day**, but **no** day is an **overwhelming day** 



## Efficient sample grouping



Samples per test

#### Number of samples per test

## **Optimization improves** sample **grouping** for tests



## Efficient sample grouping

#### Samples per test



Number of samples per test

### **Optimization improves** sample **grouping** for tests



















## Thank you!

Michal Laskowski & Shannan Liu

"That's **amazing! Big value** is clearly there, especially with the **end user confirmation!**"

- Beth Craig, Digital Transformation Manager







#### **Decision variables**

- $w^j_{a,s,r,g,t}$ : binary, if process j is performed by analyst a on sample s of type r by time t
- $u^{j}_{a,s,r,g,t}$ : binary, if process j is performed by analyst a on sample s of type r at time t
- $y_{a,q,t}^j$ : integer, number of times a process j is performed by analyst a on a sample from group g at time t
- $o_{a,t}$ : continuous, overtime of analyst a on day t
- $d_{s,r,t}$ : binary, time t by which the final process for a specific sample s of type r is initiated

#### Objective function

$$\underset{y,u,d,o,w}{\min} \underbrace{\alpha(\sum_{a \in A} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{j \in J} c_{g,j}^{0} y_{a,g,t}^{j} + \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{j \in J} c_{g,j}^{1} u_{a,s,r,g,t}^{j}) + }_{\text{Total estimated testing time}}$$

$$+ \underbrace{24(1-\alpha) \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{t \in T} (p_{s,1,t} - d_{s,1,t})}_{\text{Total cycle time}} + \underbrace{\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{a \in A} \lambda_{1} o_{a,t}}_{\text{Penalty for overtime}} + \underbrace{\sum_{s \in S} \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{2} * \sum_{a \in A} (1 - w_{a,s,1,g,t_{end}}^{j})}_{\text{Penalty for late tests}}$$

#### Parameters

- $c_q^0$ : sample setup cost of group g
- $c_q^1$ : sample run time of group g
- $p_{s,r,t}$ : 1 on and after the scheduled arrival date for each sample *s* of type *r*, and 0 otherwise
- $\lambda_1$ : penalty for 1h of overtime
- $\lambda_2$ : penalty for one sample not fully tested
- $j_{end}$ : final process
- $t_{end}$ : final day of optimization horizon



## Optimization formulation

#### Constraints

- 1. Total samples pulled/tested/reviewed cannot exceed the maximum possible number of samples in tests
- 2. Each sample can only be pulled/tested/reviewed once
- 3. Each sample can be pulled/tested/reviewed only on particular days
- 4. Each sample must be pulled within a certain time frame
- 5. Samples can only be tested on the day they are pulled or within 30 days after day
- 6. Samples can only be reviewed on the day they are tested or within 5 days after that
- 7. If an analyst has overtime on a specific day, no one else can test or review the samples they are in charge of
- 8. The total cost of running samples in all processes must be less than the analyst capacity on that day,

or it requires overtime work (greatly penalized)

9. An analyst cannot test and review the same sample



### ---- Intuitive graphical user interface for lab managers

Quality Control Lab Weekly Schedule	
Start date	
2023-05-31	
Time horizon to optimize over (in days)	7
Analyst working days       Monday     Tuesday     Thursday     Friday	
Analysts to schedule	
Analyst 9 ×       Analyst 7 ×       Analyst 3 ×       Analyst 1 ×       Analyst 2 ×       Analyst 6 ×       Analyst 14 ×       Analyst 13 ×       Analyst 11 ×	
Analyst 15 × Analyst 12 ×	(X) •
Analyst working hours (per day)	5
Buffer time for each test (in minutes)	10
Buffer time for each review (in minutes)	4
Optimize	



#### — Simple, clean schedule for labs to use

#### Lab 1 Schedule

Scheduled Tests: from April-25-2023 to May-26-2023

Analyst 0					
Date	Material	Analysis Code	Sample	Arrival Date	Due Date
Apr-26-2023	Material 1	Code 6	19107	Apr-26-2023	May-26-2023
May-02-2023	Material 4	Code 8	88	Apr-25-2023	May-25-2023
May-02-2023	Material 0	Code 8	6775	Apr-27-2023	May-27-2023
May-02-2023	Material 1	Code 8	6778	Apr-26-2023	May-26-2023
May-02-2023	Material 2	Code 8	19132	Apr-30-2023	May-30-2023
May-05-2023	Material 3	Code 22	21185	Apr-27-2023	May-27-2023
May-11-2023	Material 4	Code 4	191	Apr-25-2023	May-25-2023
May-16-2023	Material 4	Code 0	55	Apr-25-2023	May-25-2023
May-16-2023	Material 0	Code 0	172	Apr-27-2023	May-27-2023
May-16-2023	Material 1	Code 0	19553	Apr-26-2023	May-26-2023
May-16-2023	Material 2	Code 0	19650	Apr-30-2023	May-30-2023
May-18-2023	Material 4	Code 8	83	Apr-25-2023	May-25-2023
May-18-2023	Material 0	Code 8	6770	Apr-27-2023	May-27-2023
May-18-2023	Material 1	Code 8	19127	Apr-26-2023	May-26-2023

